

United States Patent and Trademark Office

<u>w</u>

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/773,330	02/09/2004	Muneyuki Fukuda		8945	
24956	7590 06/02/2005		EXAMINER		
MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C. 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			GURZO,	GURZO, PAUL M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2881		
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/773,330	FUKUDA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Paul Gurzo	2881			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NG - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	<u>.</u>					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09) February 2004.				
2a)□		his action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
_	Claim(s) 1,2,11,12 and 14-18 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1,2,11,12 and 14-18 are subject to	Irawn from consideration.	uirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers					
· ·	The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		y the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the	, ,,				
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) <u>□</u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachmer	t(s)					
	ee of References Cited (PTO-892)		Immary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Infor	ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I, drawn to claim 1, claims a method comprising applying a <u>predetermined</u> voltage to an electrode disposed <u>near</u> a surface of the sample holder.

Species II, drawn to claim 2, claims a method comprising applying a <u>predetermined</u> voltage to an electrode that <u>comes into contact</u> with a surface of the sample holder.

Species III, drawn to claim 11, claims a method comprising irradiated a sample comprising an <u>insulating</u> material and applying a <u>predetermined voltage</u> to an electrode disposed <u>near</u> a surface of the sample holder and preventing charging without inducing a current between the electrode and the irradiated area.

Species IV, drawn to claims 14 and 16-18, claims an apparatus for a charged particle beam having a first and second controller wherein said controller controls a plurality of voltages applied to the probe.

Species V, drawn to claim 15, claims an apparatus for a charged particle beam having a first and second controller wherein said second controller moves the probe to an area near the irradiation area of the charged particle beam to obtain an image.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Gurzo whose telephone number is (571) 272-2472. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Fri. 7:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Lee can be reached at (571) 272-2477. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,330

Art Unit: 2881

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PMG

JOHN R. LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800